## Appendix 5 Finance review

## EPRA net asset value

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & 2010 & \text { per share } & 2009 & \text { per share } \\ \mathrm{p}\end{array}\right)$
${ }^{1}$ Undiluted basis

## Like-for-like rental income

|  | Properties owned throughout the two years Em | Acquisitions £m | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Disposals } \\ £ m \end{array}$ | Development property £m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Em } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental income | 109.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 118.7 |
| Property expenditure | (6.2) | (0.4) | (0.1) | (1.4) | (8.1) |
| Net rental income | 103.5 | 3.0 | - | 4.1 | 110.6 |
| Surrender premiums | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.7 |
| Other income | 1.7 | - | - | - | 1.7 |
| Net property income | 105.9 | 3.0 | - | 4.1 | 113.0 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental income | 107.1 | - | 10.9 | 5.7 | 123.7 |
| Property expenditure | (8.7) | - | (1.0) | (0.8) | (10.5) |
| Net rental income | 98.4 | - | 9.9 | 4.9 | 113.2 |
| Surrender premiums | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 |
| Other income | 1.5 | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| Net property income | 100.0 | - | 9.9 | 4.9 | 114.8 |
| Increase based on gross rental income | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |
| Increase based on net rental income | 5.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Increase based on net property income | 5.9\% |  |  |  |  |
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## Net debt

|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | $£ \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{£m}$ |
| Bank overdraft | $\mathbf{( 7 . 2 )}$ | $(19.0)$ |
| Revolving bank facilities | 5.6 | 5.9 |
| Unsecured loan | 661.0 | 503.0 |
| Loan notes | 31.4 | 31.1 |
| Secured Bonds 2026 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | 1.4 |
| Fair value and issue costs | $\mathbf{1 7 5 . 0}$ | 175.0 |
| Leasehold liabilities | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | 18.6 |
| Bank loan arrangement costs ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | 7.4 |
| Net debt | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4}$ | $(2.6)$ |

${ }^{1}$ The comparative figure has been restated for the presentational changes outlined in note 1.

## Gearing and interest cover ratio

|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance sheet gearing $^{1}$ (\%) | 59.4 |  |
| Loan to value ratio (\%) $_{\text {Interest cover ratio (\%) }}$ | 35.7 |  |

${ }^{1}$ The comparative figure has been restated for the presentational changes outlined in note 1.

## Hedging and borrowing costs

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { adjusted } \\ £ m \end{gathered}$ | 2010 Em | 2009 Em |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank loans |  |  |  |
| Floating rate | 189.4 | 259.4 | 126.1 |
| Capped | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Swapped | 493.0 | 423.0 | 398.0 |
| Total | 692.4 | 692.4 | 534.1 |
| Floating rate loan notes | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Fixed rate Secured Bonds 2026 | 175.0 | 175.0 | 175.0 |
| Total | 868.5 | 868.5 | 710.5 |
| Hedged and fixed rate (\%) | 78 | 70 | 82 |
| Weighted average cost of debt (\%) | 4.54 | 4.34 | 5.00 |
| Weighted average cost of bank debt (\%) | 4.05 | 3.90 | 4.65 |
| Weighted average maturity of facilities (years) | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Weighted average maturity of swaps (years) | 6.2 | 6.1 | 4.0 |

[^0]



[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Including additional £70m swap entered into in January 2011

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Including additional $£ 70 \mathrm{~m}$ swap entered into in January 2011

