#### **KEFI Gold and Copper plc**

## ("KEFI" or the "Company")

#### Update to Hawiah Mineral Resource

Appendix C – JORC Table 1

## Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down-hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	A total of 193 surface diamond drillholes for 41,919 m and 53 surface trenches for 1,669 m have been completed at the Hawiah site, within the Project Licence area.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Diamond drilling and surface trenching was used to obtain sample intervals that typically range from 0.3-3m for drilling and 1-3m for trenching from which a split was pulverised to produce a charge for fire assay digest with AAS instrumentation for gold and 4-acid digest ICP-AES for silver, copper and zinc.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	All drilling at the Project was completed using diamond drilling techniques, taking mostly HQ diameter using double tube core barrels. HQ3 diameter core (with triple tube core barrels) was used for early drillholes HWD_001 - HWD_025 and then in zones where poorer ground conditions were anticipated, for example in the highly weathered oxide domain.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	SRK has reviewed the drill core recovery results and found that in general the core recovery in the transition (where away from the immediate oxide contact) and fresh mineralised zone is reasonably good with an average recovery of 93.0% and 99.7%, respectively.
		Within the oxide domain (and at the immediate oxide-transition contact), core recoveries are relatively poor, on average 27%, which is due to a combination of interpreted (sulphide) weathering cavities and soft friable/ clay material within this highly weathered zone.
		The low core recovery values in the oxide domain mean that the geological confidence and data quality associated with the position of the mineralisation hangingwall and footwall contacts, assay and density sampling results is also low. This is reflected in the (Inferred) Mineral Resource Classification for the oxide domain.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential	HQ3 diameter core (with triple tube core barrels) was used zones where poorer ground conditions were anticipated, for example in the highly weathered oxide domain. No clear relationship is noted between Au, Ag, Cu or Zn grade and recovery.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
	loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	All drillcore and trench samples have been geologically logged. Geotechnical (RQD and core recovery) logging has been completed for all drillholes.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.	Both quantitative (geotechnical logging of RQD and core recovery) and qualitative (lithology) logging was carried out. All core has been photographed.
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	100% of diamond core and trench sampling has been logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	Whole core was split using a core saw by Project personnel and then submitted for preparation, during which material was crushed to 2mm, pulverised to ~75 $\mu$ m, with 250g split sent for analysis. The sample preparation procedures used for trench samples in consistent with the drillcore samples.
preparation	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.	
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	Certified Reference Materials ("CRM"), field duplicates, and blank samples were inserted into the sample stream, equating to a Quality Assurance Quality Control ("QAQC") sample insertion rate of approximately 18% for gold and 16% for

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.       were inserted at a rate of approxim	etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors	For trench sampling, QAQC samples were limited to CRM samples for gold and
	Assessment of the available QAQC data indicates that, with the exception of a limited number of anomalies and potential CRM sample mix-ups, the assay data for the drilling and sampling to date appears both appropriately accurate and	
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	SRK completed a visit to the Project during October 2021. The site visit allowed SRK to review exploration procedures, examine new drill core, inspect the site, interview G&M personnel and collect relevant information.
	The use of twinned holes.	No twin drilling has been completed. All drillholes have been completed by G&M in accordance with their protocols, during 2019-2021.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	SRK was provided the Hawiah database in Microsoft Access format on 18 October 2021. SRK performed validation checks on the entire digital sample database and excluded data where appropriate. The Company validated sample assays during 2015 trench sampling and 2019-2021 drilling and by routinely submitting QAQC samples into each batch submitted for analysis at the ALS Jeddah Laboratory.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	<ul> <li>SRK excluded the following sample data within the digital sample database:</li> <li>All early-exploration surface rock chip sampling completed by the Company (namely HoleID's HWTR001- HWTR0018), due to their low accuracy (handheld GPS) survey, lack of QAQC protocol support and superseded nature, with systematic trench sampling completed over the same area</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		<ul> <li>during 2015;</li> <li>Reconnaissance trench sampling completed on adjacent prospects, namely HAT054 and HAT055.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	The topographic survey for drillhole collars at Hawiah has been completed by using a Topcon ES-103 total station survey tool which provides a high degree of accuracy in terms of x, y and z coordinates. All trenches were surveyed using differential GPS or land surveyor.
	Specification of the grid system used.	UTM coordinate grid.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	A topographic survey was completed by a G&M surveyor using Topcon ES-103 total station. The Resolution of topo-station points is considered to better than 0.5m, across the Project site.
Data spacing	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Drillhole spacing typically ranges between 40 to 180 m.
and distribution	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied	The drilling pattern is sufficiently dense to establish geological and grade continuity for the Mineral Resource at a reasonable level of confidence.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	SRK created 2.0m composites throughout samples in the modelled zones to regularise the grade data/ sample lengths whilst retaining grade variability at a visually representative scale.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Drillholes have been completed from surface at inclinations typically ranging from $50 - 60^{\circ}$ , providing intersection angles with the mineralisation that typically range from ~65° to ~30°.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	The orientation of the drilling is not considered to have introduced any material bias to the sample data or MRE.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Transport of core from drill site to core storage was supervised by G&M personnel. Samples are driven to the analytical laboratory in Jeddah by a G&M driver. Sampled half and quarter core is kept in core stacks at G&M's core storage area. Analytical pulps are retained by the laboratory until the end of the drilling program; these are then then returned to G&M's core storage yard by a G&M driver and stored in sealed barrels.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	SRK performed validation checks on the digital sample database and excluded data where appropriate. Based on the verification work completed, SRK considers that the digital sample and logging database is an appropriate reflection of the drilling and sampling data.

# Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	G&M is a joint venture partnership between ARTAR and KEFI. The Exploration Licence is held by ARTAR, under the terms of the G&M Joint Venture agreement. ARTAR currently has a 68.8% share of the Project, with the remainder (31.2%) owned by KEFI, where KEFI is the operating partner. The Exploration Licence was granted by order of the Ministry of Energy, Industry and Mineral Resources and Deputy Ministry of Mineral Resources of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Licence was originally awarded in 2014 and then renewed in October 2018. The Licence is due to expire on 21 October 2022.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	There are no known litigations potentially affecting the Hawiah Project.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Modern exploration at the Project commenced in 1936, with exploration activities including surface mapping, sampling and geophysics undertaken under the ownership of Saudi Arabian Mining Syndicate and (following 1956 and through to 1987) the KSA Directorate General of Mineral Resources as part of cooperative agreements. Most notably, the BRGM undertook a trench sampling program at the Hawiah prospect during 1987, which followed up on the results of earlier (1986-1987) rock chip sampling, mapping and geophysics, also undertaken by the BGRM. G&M subsequently acquired the Project in 2014.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The Hawiah VMS deposit is located on the eastern limb of a regional-scale antiform in the Group 2 mafic volcanics of the Wadi Bidah Mineral Belt (WBMB). The Hawiah deposit forms a prominent north-south trending ridgeline, exposed over a total length of approximately 4,500m with a thickness that typically varies from 1-15m. The ridge has been interpreted by the Company as the modern-day expression of the original VMS palaeohorizon. The rock package comprises a suite of gossanous ex-massive sulphides, chert breccias, banded iron stones and intermediate volcanic breccias. The deposit has been subject to varying degrees of supergene alteration as a result of groundwater interactions. The

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		deposit comprises of four weathering domains; oxide, oxide-transition, transition and fresh, within which different resulting facies are described. The oxide and oxide-transition domain typically shows supergene gold enrichment, while certain parts of the transitional domain shows copper enrichment. The fresh mineralised domain appears to be a dominantly pyritic stratiform massive sulphide body.
Drill hole	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the	Listing this material would not add any further material understanding
Information	exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:	of the deposit and Mineral Resource. Furthermore, no detailed Exploration
	<ul> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in meters) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	Results are specifically reported.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated	
Relationship between	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of	

JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Exploration Results.	
If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	
If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	
Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	
Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	
Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations;	
geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	
The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	SRK consider that there may be some potential to increase tonnage in the
Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive	reported Mineral Resource at Hawiah with additional drilling at depth, within the central, northern and southern (down plunge) parts of the model, and also within the unclassified (transition and fresh) material within the central part of the deposit.
	<ul> <li>Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling</li> </ul>

## Section 3: Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

for example collection a purposes.         Data valida         Site visits       Comment Person an If no site vicase.         Geological interpretation       Confidence interpretation         Nature of the effect, Resource of the use of estimation		Project Description
Person and         If no site v         case.         Geological         interpretation         Nature of t         The effect,         Resource         The use of         estimation	taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, le, transcription or keying errors, between its initial and its use for Mineral Resource estimation lation procedures used.	SRK performed a number of database validation checks on the Company's digital sample data and found no material issues in the final database.
interpretation interpretation Nature of t The effect, Resource The use of estimation	on any site visits undertaken by the Competent ad the outcome of those visits. visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the	SRK completed a visit to the Project during October 2021 to review exploration procedures, examine new drill core, inspect the site, interview G&M personnel and collect relevant information.
	e in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological tion of the mineral deposit. the data used and of any assumptions made. t, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral estimation. f geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource the s affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	Mineralisation wireframes have been defined primarily based on lithology         logging, elevated copper and gold grades (relevant to zones of anticipated grade         enrichment or depletion, as described below) and visual assessments of         geological and grade continuity. Selected mineralised intervals for oxide, oxide-         transition, transition and fresh zones were typically based on visually         distinguishable boundaries between the mineralised zones and background host         rock, with lower grade samples and interburden incorporated where necessary         to honour geological continuity.         For the oxide domain, mineralisation is primarily modelled based on a         combination of gossan, saccharoidal silica and hematitic chert lithologies (i.e.         weathering products of the massive sulphide), relative enrichment of gold (Au)         grade (and depletion in copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) grade) and typical red/ orange         colour observed in core photos.         The oxide-transition zone occurs in certain areas between the oxide and         transition zones and represents material considered to be chemically similar to         the oxide (elevated gold, depleted Cu) however with density and physical         (logging) characteristics similar to the transition.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		logging, relative enrichment of Cu and Au (similar to the fresh) and core photo observations, where (in proximity to the oxide contact) transition material typically has a dark-grey to black colour (which clearly contrasts with the oxide zone). The boundary with the fresh rock is generally less distinct based on logging observations and appears to be gradational based on sample grade distributions.
		Within the fresh rock, mineralisation is primarily modelled based on massive sulphide logging and relative enrichment of Cu and Au; typically, these features are closely correlated in the fresh. Zinc (Zn) and silver (Ag) are also generally coincident with the fresh massive sulphide mineralisation and were used as a secondary modelling criteria.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	Mineralisation modelled for 2021 comprises a mineralised lode which is geologically continuous along strike for ~5 km, with down-plunge extents of up to 900 m and an average thickness normally between 1 m and 15 m.
Estimation and modelling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.	<ul> <li>In summary, for this Mineral Resource Estimate, SRK has completed the following:</li> <li>modelled the mineralised lode and weathering domains in 3D, in conjunction with the G&amp;M geological team;</li> <li>composited the sample data to 2m intervals;</li> <li>applied high grade caps per estimation domain from log histograms;</li> <li>undertaken geostatistical analyses to determine appropriate interpolation algorithms;</li> <li>created block models with block dimensions of 2 x 25 x 25 m</li> <li>interpolated Cu, Zn, Au and Ag grade into the block model using ordinary kriging (or IDW where variograms where not achieved);</li> <li>assigned average or lithology-weighted average density by modelled weathering domain;</li> <li>visually and statistically validated the estimated block grades relative to the original sample results</li> </ul>
	The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.	In comparison to the previous 2020 SRK MRE, which was reported in only the Inferred Mineral Resource category, targeted infill drilling at the Project has resulted in the reporting a portion of the 2021 Mineral Resource in the Indicated

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		<ul> <li>category, comprising some 10.9 Mt at 0.96% Cu, 0.86% Zn, 0.64 g/t Au and 9.98 g/t Ag.</li> <li>On a combined Indicated and Inferred basis, SRK note the following changes for the Hawiah deposit, compared with the 2020 MRE Statement:</li> <li>Increase in tonnage from 19.3 Mt to 24.9 Mt, slight increase in copper and zinc grades from 0.87% Cu to 0.9% Cu and from 0.81% Zn to 0.85% Zn, increase in gold grade from 0.56 g/t Au to 0.62 g/t Au and slight reduction in silver grade from 10.3 g/t Ag to 9.8 g/t Ag.</li> <li>SRK consider the changes outlined above for Hawiah to be a due to a combination of the following key factors:</li> <li>infill drilling resulting in increased drillhole coverage;</li> <li>exploration drilling at the southern down-plunge extents of the deposit (at the Camp Lode), extending modelled mineralisation wireframes to depth; higher overall mean sample grades for Cu, Zn and Au (and slightly lower mean grades for Ag), mainly due to of addition of new intercepts at depth;</li> <li>refinements to the mineralisation model and estimation parameters;</li> <li>changes to the RPEEE parameters for 2021, including (with the exception of Zn) slightly higher overall metal prices and metallurgical recoveries, based on initial metallurgical testwork results and updated assessment of long-term metal price forecasts</li> </ul>
	The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.	No by-products have been estimated as part of this MRE.
	Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).	No deleterious elements have been estimated as part of this MRE.
	In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.	Block dimensions are $2 \times 25 \times 25 m$ (x, y and z). These dimensions were chosen to reflect the average drillhole spacing and to appropriately reflect the grade variability within the modelled mineralised domains.
	Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.	Selective mining units have not been modelled as part of this MRE.
	Any assumptions about correlation between variables.	No significant correlation relationships were found between modelled variables during raw statistical analysis.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
	Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates	The limits of the block model domains are constrained by wireframes that represent the mineralised lode.
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.	High-grade capping was applied based on histogram plots for each mineralisation wireframe domain and spatial (visual) assessment of high-grade sample support
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	Visual checks were carried out along sections and in 3D to compare model block grades with drillhole data. Mean model grades were compared to mean sample grades per domain and spatially assessed along a series of pre-defined sections using SWATH plots. Based on the visual, sectional and statistical validation results SRK has accepted the grades in the block model.
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis.
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	SRK has applied basic economic considerations based on initial metallurgical testwork results and assumptions provided by the Company, similar deposit
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	<ul> <li>types located within Saudi Arabia and SRK's experience to determine which portion of the block model has reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction by underground and open-pit mining methods.</li> <li>To achieve this, the Mineral Resource has been subject to an underground floating stope optimisation and open-pit optimisation studies, based on long-term metal price forecasts (with appropriate uplift to reflect potential for assessing Mineral Resources) for copper, zinc, gold and silver, to assist in determining the material with potential for underground and open pit mining and reporting above a suitable Resource NSR USD/t cut-off value.</li> </ul>
<i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i>	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	The parameters used for the underground stope optimisation and open pit optimisation exercise are summarised below.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		Summary of key assumptions for conceptual underground stope optimisation, open pit optimisation and cut-off grade calculation

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Proje	ct Descripti	on
		Parameters	Units	
		Production Rate		
		Production Rate - Ore	(mtpa)	1.8 - 2.2
		Geotechnical		
		Overall Slope Angle (Oxide)	(Deg)	43
		Overall Slope Angle (Transition)	(Deg)	46
		Overall Slope Angle (Fresh)	(Deg)	52
		Open Pit Mining Factors	( 0,	
		Dilution	(%)	Included in regularised Block Model 5x5x2.5
		Recovery	(%)	m
		Underground Mining Factors	()	
		Minimum stope dimension	(m)	2m width x 25 m height x 20 m length
		Dilution	(%)	15%
		Processing (Oxide: Heap Leach)	17	
		Recovery - Cu	(%)	0%
		Recovery - Zn	(%)	0%
		Recovery - Au	(%)	75%
		Recovery - Ag	(%)	15%
		Processing (Transition and Fresh: Floatation and		0
		Recovery - Cu	(%)	92%
		Recovery - Zn	(%)	71%
		Recovery - Au	(%)	74%
		Recovery - Ag	(%)	84%
		Commodity Prices	,	
		Ou	(USD/t)	9,200
		Zn	(USD/t)	3.000
		Au	(USD/oz)	1,820
		Ag	(USD/oz)	26
		Operating Costs		
		Open Pit Mining (Oxide)	(USD/t rock)	1.9
		Open Pit Mining (Transition)	(USD/t rock)	2.2
		Open Pit Mining (Fresh)	(USD/t rock)	2.1
		Underground Mining (Transition and Fresh)	(USD/t ore)	27.0
		Processing (Oxide: Heap Leach)	(USD/t ore)	6.0
		Processing (Transition and Fresh: Floatation and	(USD/t ore)	13.9
		Cyanide Leach)		
		G&A (incl. corporate, sales/ marketing)	(USD/t ore)	5.6
Environmental	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process	SRK is unaware of any environmenta	I factors wi	nich would preclude the reporting
factors or assumptions	residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stores the determination of potential environmental	of Mineral Resources.		
	stage the determination of potential environmental impacts,			

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
	particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	
assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately	Density measurements were taken from drill core during the 2019-2021 diamond drilling programmes. The immersion method (Archimedes principal) was used, measuring dry versus immersion in water weights. A piece of core typically measuring 10-15 cm in length was selected and weighed in air and then again while submerged in water.	
	deposit.	Prior to 2021, almost all samples were covered in a wax coating before immersion in water. Since then, core density measurements (for drilling targeted on transition and fresh mineralisation) has been based on unsealed core, based on largely non-porous core material.
		Transition and Fresh Density
		Based on density histogram assessment within the transition and fresh mineralisation domains, SRK noted the presence of a bimodal population, with higher and lower populations relating to massive sulphide and interburden (manly Greenschist) lithologies, respectively.
		The variability between the typically thin, interburden intervals and massive sulphide, within the mineralisation zone, is generally not evenly distributed downhole and often occurs at a resolution finer than the frequency of density sampling (typically 1 sample every 1-2m). This means that direct interpolation of density samples may result in local overestimation of block model density
		Instead, to appropriately reflect the two populations in the block model, SRK has derived a % massive sulphide field ("MS%") for every drillhole intercept within the mineralisation domain (derived based on lithology logging) and used this to assign a lithology-weighted density field for each block in the model. MS% was interpolated into the block model using an ID2 algorithm, with density for the transition and fresh mineralisation domains derived based on average sample densities and the following formulas:
		Transition Density g/cm <sup>3</sup> = [ MS%*4.5 ] + [ (1-MS%)*2.6 ]
		Fresh Density g/cm <sup>3</sup> = [ MS%*4.6 ] + [ (1-MS%)*3.3 ]

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
		<ul> <li>Oxide Density</li> <li>Given the relatively limited density sample coverage within the oxide, SRK has applied block model density according to average values. Within the oxide domain, where weathering cavities are currently interpreted to occur, SRK has accounted for these in the density estimation by applying a 'cavity factor' to the average value determined from drillhole samples.</li> <li>The cavity factor was determined for the previous SRK 2020 MRE based on the following observations within the mineralisation wireframe:</li> <li>Total intercepted length within drillholes in the oxide domain: 28.3 m;</li> <li>Total intercepted length within the drillholes in the oxide domain that returned zero core recovery (interpreted as cavities): 9.4 m;</li> <li>Total % core with zero recovery within the oxide domain (i.e. the cavity factor): 9.4 / 28.3 = 33% (or 30%, to apply appropriate rounding and reflect the current low level of confidence associated with the density of the oxide material)</li> </ul>
		Limited new information is available for oxide zone for 2021; therefore, the cavity factor outlined above remains current, with oxide density for the model determined using the formula below: Oxide density g/cm <sup>3</sup> = [ 2.4 * (1-30%) = 1.7 ]

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Project Description
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factor (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	The following guidelines apply to SRK's classification: Indicated Mineral Resources are where SRK has a reasonable level of confidence in the geological interpretation and grade continuity, within relatively well drilled areas of the model with 60m coverage or better, limited to the transition and fresh mineralisation domains. Inferred Mineral Resources are in domains that display reasonable to low geological confidence, where blocks are typically within 100-120 m of sample data. These areas require support from targeted infill drilling to improve the quality of the local block grades and geological interpretation before they can be used for long term mine planning. This classification was prepared by, and reflects the views of, the Competent Person.
Audits or reviews	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent           Person's view of the deposit.           The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource           estimates.	SRK is not aware of any previous audits or reviews
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	estimates.         Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.         The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation.         Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.         These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	The Hawiah deposit is an Advanced Exploration Property that is predominantly an underground target however with open-pit potential in certain thicker and higher-grade areas nearer to surface. The Project is at a moderate stage of exploration and geological understanding, particularly within better drilled areas. In areas of wider spaced drilling and increased geological uncertainty, notably at depth and in the oxide zone, additional targeted infill is required to improve geological confidence and quality of the local block estimates before these areas are considered suitable for use for long-term mine planning. Areas of lower geological confidence will require more drilling and verification work and may be subject to further revision in the future.