

# Research Update:

# Tata Steel Rating Raised To 'BB-' On Deleveraging **And Strong Operating Momentum; Outlook Stable**

April 5, 2021

## **Rating Action Overview**

- We believe Tata Steel Ltd.'s debt levels will decline materially over the next two years due to strong cash flow and the company's stated intention to reduce debt.
- In our base case, we expect Tata Steel's adjusted debt to decline by about 30% by March 2023 from the March 2020 level of about Indian rupee (INR) 1 trillion, leading its credit metrics to steadily improve.
- On April 6, 2021, S&P Global Ratings raised its issuer credit rating on Tata Steel and its subsidiary ABJA Investment Co. Pte. Ltd. to 'BB-' from 'B+'. We also raised the long-term issue rating on the senior unsecured notes issued by ABJA.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Tata Steel will adequately deleverage over the next two years and build comfortable headroom at the current rating level.

#### PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

#### Neel Gopalakrishnan

Singapore

+65-6239-6385 neel.gopalakrishnan @spglobal.com

#### SECONDARY CONTACT

#### Shruti Zatakia

Singapore +65 6216 1094 shruti.zatakia

@spglobal.com

# Rating Action Rationale

The benefits of strong cash flows and management's commitment to lower debt should help Tata Steel to materially deleverage over the next two years. We estimate our adjusted debt levels for Tata Steel will decline by about 30% by March 2023 from about INR1.1 trillion in March 2020. About half of this decline is expected to have been delivered in fiscal 2021 (year ended March 2021). Tata Steel has committed to reducing absolute debt levels by at least US\$1 billion per year from fiscal 2022. Our base case shows that the company's free operating cash flows will be adequate to facilitate this reduction over the next two years, even with our revised capital expenditure (capex) estimates of about INR90 billion per year, up from INR50 billion-INR60 billion in fiscal 2021. We believe Tata Steel will moderate its investment plans, if required, so as to meet this objective. The company reported sizable debt reduction in fiscal 2021, thanks to stronger cash flow generation, recent equity raising of about INR33 billion, and working capital improvements of about INR120 billion. However, we treat as debt substitution some of Tata Steel's working capital-related debt reductions in fiscal 2021, such as INR60 billion in export advances and securitization of receivables at Tata Steel Europe (with a facility size of £475

million). This implies a comparatively lower level of deleveraging compared with the company's reported numbers.

#### We expect strong operating momentum over the next 12-18 months to facilitate debt reduction.

Tata Steel has guided to price increases of INR6,000-INR7,000 per ton in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2021, on the back of already high prices and margins in the third quarter. In the third quarter, the Indian operations reported average EBITDA/ton of about INR19,000, exceeding our mid-cycle estimate of about INR13,500-INR14,000. Consequently, we anticipate that the company can maintain strong operating momentum over the next couple of quarters at least. Our base case assumes moderation in steel prices over fiscals 2022 and 2023, such that the Indian operations' EBITDA/ton gradually will decline to about INR16,000 by fiscal 2023. In our view, steel prices could moderate over the next two to three years as output ramps up globally following disruptions due to COVID-19. Long product prices in India have already moderated following the resumption of smaller capacities. Under these assumptions, we estimate Tata Steel can generate aggregate EBITDA of more than INR600 billion and free operating cash flow of about INR150 billion over fiscals 2022 and 2023.

Volatility in earnings, and hence, credit metrics constrains a higher rating for now. We estimate Tata Steel's debt-to-EBITDA ratio will decline to 2.5x-3.0x in our base case by fiscal 2023 and the ratio of funds from operations (FFO) to debt should improve to 20%-25%, compared with our earlier estimates of 4.0x-4.5x and about 15%, respectively. A key risk to these estimates is the level of steel prices. If steel prices were to drop to mid-cycle levels by fiscal 2023, we estimate the company's FFO-to-debt ratio to be 15%-17%, and it has the potential to drop further to 13%-14% at the bottom of the cycle. We note the company's EBITDA in fiscal 2020 was about half our current estimates for fiscals 2021 and 2022, with a ratio of FFO-to-debt being 6%, indicating the extent of volatility seen lately. That said, material deleveraging has lowered downside rating risk, in our view. The likelihood is reducing that credit metrics will weaken to levels seen in previous downturns.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Tata Steel will significantly reduce debt over the next 12-24 months, supported by strong operational cash flow. The stable outlook also reflects our expectation that the company's debt reduction would result in lower volatility in its credit metrics compared with the previous steel cycle, with greater resilience during downturns.

#### Downside scenario

In our base case, we see Tata Steel building adequate headroom at the current rating level. However, we could lower the rating if earnings decline or the company takes on additional debt. such that its FFO-to-debt ratio fails to remain well above 15% on a sustained basis.

## Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if Tata Steel deleverages beyond our expectations, such that we view the company's ratio of FFO to debt is likely to remain above 25% on a sustained basis. Factors contributing to this scenario include steel prices remaining stronger for longer than we now envisage. At current steel prices, the company's FFO-to-debt ratio may exceed the threshold of

25% over the next two years. However, its ability to maintain the ratio above 25% at mid cycle prices is less likely.

## **Company Description**

Tata Steel is among the largest steel producers globally, with an annual crude steel capacity of close to 30 million tons--about 19.3 million tons in India and 10.5 million tons in Europe. Its India operations are well integrated, with captive access to iron ore, although it still supplements its coal needs with imports. The company's business position is a mix of low-cost highly efficient steelmaking capacities in India and comparatively high-cost capacities in Europe. Tata Steel is part of Tata Group and is about 34% owned by Tata Sons Pte. Ltd.

#### **Our Base-Case Scenario**

## **Assumptions**

- Steel sales of about 18 million tons in India and 9 million tons in Europe in fiscals 2022 and 2023, almost unchanged from fiscal 2021 levels.
- Average net sales realization in rupee terms that are about 10% higher in fiscals 2021 and fiscal 2022 versus fiscal 2020, declining about 5% in fiscal 2023.
- Capex of INR60 billion in fiscal 2021, increasing to about INR90 billion in fiscals 2022 and 2023 as some growth capex returns.
- No significant shareholder distributions, acquisitions, or asset divestments over the next 12-24 months.
- Debt reduction of US\$1 billion annually over the next two years.

## **Key metrics**

- Average EBITDA/ton for the Indian operations of INR17,000/ton-INR19,000/ton in fiscals 2021 and 2022, declining to about INR16,000 in fiscal 2023; this is significantly higher than about INR10,500 in fiscal 2020. No material contribution or cash losses at the Tata Steel Europe level.
- Consolidated EBITDA margin of 16%-18% in fiscal 2021, increasing to 20%-25% in fiscal 2022 and declining to about 20% in fiscal 2023. These compares with about 12% in fiscal 2020.
- Adjusted debt declining to about INR750 billion by March 2023 from INR1.1 trillion as of March 2020 and our estimate of INR900 billion-INR950 billion in March 2021.
- FFO-to-debt ratio of 17%-18% in fiscal 2021, improving to over 20% in fiscals 2022 and 2023; compared with 6.7% in fiscal 2020.
- Positive free operating cash flow of about INR200 billion in fiscal 2021 and INR60 billion-INR80 billion in fiscals 2022 and 2023; fiscal 2021 cash flow supported by working capital improvements of about INR120 billion. This includes some customer advances and securitized receivables which we consider debt substitutes.

## Liquidity

We assess Tata Steel's liquidity as adequate. On a consolidated basis, we estimate the company's liquidity sources will be well above 1.5x its liquidity uses over the 12 months ending Dec. 31, 2021. Tata Steel has good on-balance-sheet liquidity and no large near-term debt maturities. We expect the company to have enough funds to manage its uses even if its EBITDA were to fall by 15%.

Tata Steel enjoys strong financial flexibility as a blue-chip Indian company. It has good access to capital markets and strong banking relationships, given its association with Tata Group. We believe support from Tata Group will be forthcoming, if needed, reinforcing its liquidity position.

Our estimates of Tata Steel's liquidity sources and uses for the 12 months ending Dec. 31, 2021, are as follows.

Key liquidity sources include:

- Cash and cash equivalents of INR200 billion as of Dec. 31, 2020.
- FFO that we estimate at about INR200 billion over the next 12 months
- Equity raising of about INR33 billion.

Key liquidity sources include:

- Debt maturities of about INR140 billion over the 12 months, of which about INR130 billion is short-term debt (based on September 2020 reported figures) that is generally rolled over.
- Capex of INR80 billion over the next 12 months.

The company is also expected to have prepaid at least INR150 billion of debt in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2021, including calling about INR15 billion of its onshore hybrid securities.

#### Covenants

Tata Steel remains in compliance with its covenants as of Sept. 30, 2020.

## Issue Ratings - Subordination Risk Analysis

#### Capital structure

As of March 31, 2020, Tata Steel reported about INR1.1 trillion of debt, of which about INR380 billion was secured. Of the total debt, about INR420 billion was at the Tata Steel stand-alone level, about INR225 billion equivalent was at Tata Steel Netherlands Holdings BV, and about INR190 billion equivalent of bonds was at its captive financing unit ABJA.

#### **Analytical conclusions**

We rate the foreign currency bonds totaling about US\$2.3 billion issued by ABJA at 'BB-', the same as the issuer credit rating on ABJA. We treat ABJA as a core subsidiary of Tata Steel and equalize the rating on ABJA with that on Tata Steel. We rate the issuances the same as the issuer credit ratings because Tata Steel primarily operates in India, a jurisdiction where we believe the priority

of claims in a theoretical bankruptcy is highly uncertain.

# **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Issuer Credit Rating: BB-/Stable/--

Business risk: Satisfactory

- Country risk: Moderately high

Industry risk: Moderately high

Competitive position: Satisfactory

Financial risk: Aggressive

Cash flow/Leverage: Aggressive

Anchor: bb Modifiers

Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)

Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)

- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)

- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)

Management and governance: Satisfactory

Comparable rating analysis: Negative (-1 notch)

Stand-alone credit profile: bb-

## **Related Criteria**

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, April 1, 2019
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings, March 28.2018
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria, Oct. 21, 2016
- Criteria | Corporates | Recovery: Methodology: Jurisdiction Ranking Assessments, Jan. 20, 2016
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate

Entities, Nov. 13, 2012

General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

# **Ratings List**

#### Upgraded

	То	From
Tata Steel Ltd.		
ABJA Investment Co. Pte. Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	BB-/Stable/	B+/Stable/
ABJA Investment Co. Pte. Ltd.		
Senior Unsecured	BB-	B+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating  $action \ can be found on \ S\&P\ Global\ Ratings' \ public\ website\ at\ www.standard and poors.com.\ Use\ the\ Ratings\ search$ 

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.